THE GENEROUS GEN: FOSTERING SUSTAINABLE CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY (CSR) INITIATIVES FOR GEN-Z IN THE DIGITAL AGE

Maya Bunga Maulidiya[1]
Rezeki Khairani[2]
Anggun Puspa Hadi[3]
Balqis Humayro[4]
Nuzu Rahmah[5]

[1,2,3,4,5]Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara
maaulidiya@gmail.com

Abstract: *This study examines how sustainable Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives can be designed to genuinely engage Generation Z in the digital era. Employing a qualitative exploratory approach, the research centers on Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) conducted with Gen-Z participants to capture their perspectives, expectations, and critical evaluations of current CSR practices. These discussions were analyzed alongside a systematic review of contemporary CSR literature and digital campaign case studies. The FGDs revealed that for Gen-Z, the sustainability of an initiative is intrinsically linked to authentic co-creation, radical transparency via digital platforms, and tangible, narrated impact. Findings indicate that traditional, top-down CSR models are perceived as inauthentic, while initiatives offering participatory roles, digital storytelling, and clear ethical narratives foster a sense of ownership and sustained engagement. The study concludes that fostering sustainable CSR requires a paradigm shift towards open, dialogic, and digitally-integrated frameworks where Gen-Z are not merely an audience but active collaborators. This research provides a stakeholder-informed conceptual model for designing CSR that aligns with the values and digital behaviors of the future's key influencers.*

Keywords: *Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Generation Z, Sustainability, Digital Age*

Introduction

In the digital economy where trust is the new currency and authenticity forms market capital, corporations face a fundamental reckoning. Recent data reveals a staggering 86% of Generation Z consumers believe companies should address social issues, yet 66% express distrust in corporate social responsibility claims, viewing them as superficial marketing rather than genuine commitment (Edelman Trust Barometer, 2023; Deloitte Global Gen Z Survey, 2023). This trust deficit represents not merely a communications challenge but a structural vulnerability that threatens long-term valuation and market relevance. The contemporary corporation operates within a paradigm where its social license—the implicit permission to operate granted by society—is more fragile than ever, with 73% of global consumers willing to abandon brands that fail to demonstrate authentic social purpose (Accenture Strategy, 2024). This reality has elevated Corporate Social Responsibility from peripheral philanthropy to what constitutes nothing less than a strategic survival imperative, fundamentally reshaping how organizational success is measured and achieved.

The primary architects of this new accountability framework are Generation Z, a demographic cohort representing over 30% of the global population with an estimated $450 billion in direct purchasing power in the United States alone (McKinsey & Company, 2023). Their influence extends beyond consumption into investment patterns, with 77% reporting they would invest in companies demonstrating strong environmental and social governance practices (Morgan Stanley Institute for Sustainable Investing, 2023). This generation approaches corporate engagement not as passive consumers but as active stakeholders, employing digital tools for what can only be described as ethical due diligence. Their behavioral patterns reveal a profound generational shift: 82% research a company's ethics and social impact before accepting employment, while 69% actively boycott brands perceived as unethical, a rate 15% higher than millennials at the same age (Deloitte Global Gen Z Survey, 2023). This cohort operates with a sophisticated understanding of systemic interconnectedness, recognizing that authentic corporate citizenship requires integration across supply chains, labor practices, environmental stewardship, and community engagement. Their skepticism emerges from witnessing what data confirms: only 19% of S&P 500 companies have quantifiably linked executive compensation to sustainability goals, revealing a persistent gap between corporate rhetoric and operational reality (Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance, 2023).

This data-validated disconnect has rendered traditional CSR models strategically obsolete and reputationally perilous. Research indicates that legacy corporate social initiatives—characterized by fragmented philanthropy, symbolic partnerships, and unverified impact claims—now face a credibility crisis, with 71% of Gen Z respondents identifying "purpose-washing" as a major barrier to trusting corporate sustainability claims (World Economic Forum, 2023). The financial implications are substantial: companies perceived as authentic in their CSR efforts demonstrate 2.5 times greater brand loyalty and achieve 47% higher market valuation premiums over five years compared to peers with transactional CSR programs (Kantar Purpose 2023 Report). This divergence creates what strategy experts term a "legitimacy gap"—the widening distance between stakeholder expectations and corporate performance that directly correlates with erosion of brand equity, talent acquisition challenges, and increased regulatory scrutiny. Analysis shows organizations failing to bridge this gap experience an average 22% higher employee turnover among Gen Z talent and face 30% more consumer-led social media activism campaigns (Boston Consulting Group, 2023).

Consequently, this research addresses a question of critical strategic importance: How can sustainable corporate social responsibility initiatives be authentically designed to engage Generation Z in the digital era? This investigation moves beyond theoretical frameworks to confront an operational reality where generational expectations, validated by comprehensive global data, demand structural transformation. The methodology centers on co-creation, recognizing that effective CSR frameworks cannot be developed in corporate isolation but must emerge from genuine stakeholder partnership. By systematically analyzing the expectations, critiques, and aspirations of Generation Z participants through rigorous qualitative inquiry, this study aims to develop an evidence-based, actionable model. This framework seeks to guide organizations in designing CSR initiatives that transcend performative engagement to establish measurable, trust-based partnerships—relationships that not only enhance corporate legitimacy but contribute to sustainable value creation for both organizations and the communities they serve in an increasingly transparent digital ecosystem.

Literature Review

The discourse on CSR has expanded significantly, moving from Carroll's foundational pyramid to encompass stakeholder theory and the concept of Creating Shared Value (CSV). Contemporary literature emphasizes the strategic integration of CSR into business operations for long-term sustainability. However, a critical gap exists in understanding how these evolving CSR concepts resonate with specific generational cohorts, particularly Generation Z. Existing research on Gen-Z highlights their unique characteristics: digital pragmatists who value authenticity over brand legacy, are driven by purpose and ethical narratives, and utilize digital platforms for both consumption and activism. Studies in marketing and organizational behavior note their preference for transparent supply chains and corporate activism on social issues. Meanwhile, literature on sustainable CSR points towards engagement, materiality assessment, and impact measurement. Yet, few studies synthetically combine these streams to examine CSR *design* from the Gen-Z perspective. This review identifies five key thematic areas for discussion: the critique of traditional CSR as perceived by younger generations; the role of digital platforms in enabling transparency and participation; the concept of co-creation in stakeholder engagement; the importance of narrative and storytelling in communicating impact; and the evolving metrics for measuring CSR success beyond financial proxies.

Method

This research employed a qualitative exploratory design to gain in-depth, nuanced insights into Gen-Z's perceptions and expectations. The primary data source was a series of semi-structured Focus Group Discussions (FGDs). Participants were recruited from university students and young professionals aged 18-25, representing Gen-Z's core demographic. Four FGDs, each comprising 6-8 participants, were conducted virtually to accommodate digital-native preferences and geographical diversity. Discussions were guided by a protocol exploring topics such as memorable CSR campaigns, perceived authenticity, desired levels of involvement, and the role of digital media. All FGDs were recorded, transcribed verbatim, and analyzed using thematic analysis to identify recurring patterns, conflicts, and insights. This primary data was triangulated with a systematic review of recent academic literature on CSR, generational studies, and digital engagement. Furthermore, a documentary analysis of contemporary CSR digital campaigns cited by participants or recognized in industry awards (e.g., from companies like Patagonia, Ben & Jerry's, or TOMS) was conducted to contextualize the FGD findings within real-world practices.

Result and Discusion

The analysis of FGD data revealed a profound critique of traditional CSR models, which participants frequently labeled as "corporate window-dressing" or "check-box exercises." This critique stems from a fundamental misalignment between conventional corporate practices and the core values of Generation Z, a digitally-empowered cohort that prioritizes authenticity, agency, and tangible impact. The dissonance can be synthesized into four pivotal dimensions requiring a paradigm shift.

First, regarding the role of the stakeholder, the expectation moves decisively from a *passive recipient or audience* to an active co-creator and collaborator. Gen-Z participants expressed frustration with pre-packaged CSR programs, demanding instead participatory roles such as ideators in digital crowdsourcing platforms, members of youth advisory boards for CSR projects, or volunteers contributing specific digital skills (e.g., managing social media for a cause). This active engagement fosters a sense of psychological ownership, transforming the stakeholder relationship from transactional to partnership-based and ensuring sustained involvement.

Second, the communication paradigm must evolve from *periodic, polished reports and top-down messaging* to continuous, transparent, and dialogic interaction via digital platforms. Annual sustainability reports are perceived as distant and curated. In contrast, Gen-Z expects real-time, accessible communication—such as interactive impact dashboards, behind-the-scenes project updates on Instagram or TikTok, and live Q&A sessions with CSR managers. This "radical transparency," including the sharing of challenges and setbacks, is not seen as a risk but as a crucial marker of authenticity that builds deeper trust than any polished corporate brochure.

Third, the criteria for impact measurement are being redefined. Moving beyond *quantitative outputs* (e.g., total funds donated), Gen-Z values qualitative narratives and tangible, storied outcomes. They are skeptical of large numbers without context. Instead, they resonate with compelling digital storytelling that showcases specific community transformations, individual beneficiary testimonials, and visual evidence of environmental restoration. This preference underscores a demand for meaningful, relatable proof of effect, making impact feel personal, shareable, and verifiable.

Finally, the core driver of CSR is shifting from *philanthropy and reputation management* to authenticity and deep integration with core business ethics. For Gen-Z, CSR cannot be a peripheral, charitable side activity. Initiatives perceived as "greenwashing" or disconnected from a company's actual operations and ethical stance are quickly dismissed. Authenticity is demonstrated when CSR efforts directly and coherently address the social or environmental consequences of the business itself, creating a credible and consistent ethical narrative.

These four dimensions collectively underscore the necessity for a new strategic framework. To operationalize this shift, CSR budgeting must also be reimagined. A proposed allocation strategy should be flexible, adapting percentages based on ongoing analysis of Gen-Z trends and program evaluations; impact-based, prioritizing areas with direct, measurable relevance to Gen-Z's lives such as digital skills training, mental health support, and environmental action; and innovative, leveraging digital platforms for delivery. For instance, allocating significant resources to digital literacy incubators or green lifestyle campaigns delivered via social media aligns with both the medium and the values of this generation. Companies like PT Mandiri Axa General Insurance offer precedents, with CSR programs focusing on youth skill-building and community health that embody this participatory and digital-first approach. However, this evolution presents significant managerial challenges. Adopting a co-creative model requires relinquishing a degree of control and investing in new participatory infrastructures. Demands for radical transparency can expose operational vulnerabilities to public scrutiny. Furthermore, measuring narrative-driven impact requires moving beyond standardized metrics to incorporate tools like digital sentiment analysis and qualitative case studies. These challenges are not trivial, but they are inherent to the process of building genuine, sustainable partnerships with a generation that values integrity over image and collaboration over charity. Ultimately, aligning CSR with Gen-Z expectations is not merely an exercise in communication but a strategic realignment towards creating verifiable social value through authentic engagement.

Table 1: Gen-Z's Expectations Vs. Traditional CSR Approach

Expectation Dimension	Traditional CSR Approach	Gen-Z Expected Approach
Role of Stakeholder	Passive recipient or audience	Active co-creator and collaborator
Communication	Periodic, polished reports; top-down messaging	Continuous, transparent, dialogic via digital platforms
Impact Measurement	Quantitative outputs (e.g., amount donated)	Qualitative narratives & tangible outcomes; storytelling
Core Driver	Philanthropy; reputation management	Authenticity; integration with core business ethics

Table 2: Proposed CSR Budget Allocation Framework For Gen-Z Programs

Program Area	Activity Category (Examples)	Allocation Guideline
Capability and Education Development	Digital Skills Training (AI, Coding, Digital Marketing)	25%
Creative Economy and Entrepreneurship Empowerment	Digital Business Incubator (Startup Support)	15%
Environmental and Sustainability Issues (ESG)	Green Living Campaigns, Conservation Projects	15%
Mental Health and Social Well-being	Online & Offline Counseling Services	10%

Allocation Principles:

(1) **Flexible**: The allocation of resources cannot be rigid or historically predetermined. It must embody a principle of adaptive flexibility, where proposed percentages serve as a guiding framework rather than a fixed mandate. Budgets should be continuously reviewed and

adjusted in response to two key streams of data: real time analysis of evolving Gen Z trends, values, and concerns; and rigorous, qualitative and quantitative evaluations of previous program cycles. This requires leveraging insights from social listening tools, youth sentiment reports, and partnerships with academic and research institutions, such as the Darmajaya Institute of Informatics and Business, which can provide grounded, localized data on youth aspirations and digital behaviors. This iterative approach ensures CSR investments remain relevant and responsive to the cohort's changing priorities.

(2) **Impact-Based**: Funding must be prioritised toward initiatives that demonstrably generate tangible, substantive impact aligned with issues Gen Z deems materially significant The principle of impact based allocation demands a focus on outcomes over outputs. It necessitates investing in programs that address core concerns such as future-ready skill development, mental health and wellbeing support, accessible entrepreneurial pathways, and actionable environmental stewardship—areas where results can be qualitatively narrated and quantitatively verified. Success is measured not by the volume of spending, but by the depth of the change created in the lives of young people and their communities, thereby fulfilling the Gen Z demand for authenticity and visible proof of value.

(3) **Innovative**: To authentically engage a digitally native generation, the modality of program delivery is as critical as its content. The principle of innovation mandates the strategic utilization of digital ecosystems for program design, communication, and execution. This involves moving beyond traditional workshops to embrace interactive webinars, gamified learning modules via dedicated applications, peer to peer advocacy campaigns on social media platforms, and the use of immersive technologies. Such an approach significantly increases accessibility, scalability, and engagement, meeting Gen Z within their natural digital habitats and offering experiential participation that aligns with their daily consumption of content and community interaction.

(4) **Collaborative Example**: Effective CSR for Gen Z is rarely developed in isolation. This principle advocates for a collaborative ethos, encouraging partnerships with youth led organisations, educational institutions, and technology partners to co-create initiatives. Furthermore, it is instructive to analyse and adapt insights from frontrunner corporations that have successfully engaged this demographic. For instance, companies like PT Mandiri Axa General Insurance have developed CSR portfolios that effectively integrate skill building workshops, health awareness campaigns, and community volunteerism tailored for young adults. Studying such exemplars provides practical benchmarks for aligning program structure with the participatory, multi-faceted interests of Gen Z, demonstrating that principles of flexibility, impact, and innovation can be successfully operationalised.

Conclusion

This study concludes that authentically engaging Generation Z in sustainable corporate social responsibility requires a fundamental and systemic rethinking of the corporate approach, signaling the end of incremental adjustments to legacy frameworks. The findings demonstrate that for this digitally-native, value-driven generation, the very concept of sustainability is inextricably linked to authenticity—a quality that cannot be manufactured through marketing but must be demonstrably constructed through operational integrity. This authenticity is built upon three interdependent pillars revealed by the research: participatory design, which shifts agency from corporation to community by involving Gen Z as co-creators in the ideation and implementation phases; radical transparency, which utilizes digital platforms to provide unfiltered, real-time access to decision-making processes, financial flows, successes, and failures; and compelling storytelling of tangible outcomes, which communicates impact not through abstract metrics but through human-centered narratives that document measurable change in specific communities and ecosystems. These elements form a holistic ecosystem of trust that aligns with Gen Z's cognitive and ethical frameworks.

The proposed conceptual model synthesizes these insights into a practical roadmap for organizational transition. It fundamentally repositions Generation Z from a passive target demographic or consumer segment to strategic partners and active stakeholders in the value-creation process. This shift demands structural changes: integrating youth advisory councils into governance, allocating dedicated budgets for co-created initiatives, and developing new internal capabilities in digital engagement and participatory methodologies. The model presents a move from corporate-centric *outputs* (e.g., funds disbursed, trees planted) toward stakeholder-validated *outcomes* (e.g., skills gained, well-being improved, ecosystems restored), thereby recalibrating how success is defined and measured. Acknowledging its limitations, this study's qualitative and exploratory nature provides a rich, nuanced foundation while highlighting critical avenues for future research. To enhance the generalizability and robustness of the framework, subsequent investigations should pursue quantitative validation, testing the proposed model's efficacy through large-scale surveys across diverse cultural contexts (e.g., individualistic versus collectivist societies) and industry sectors (e.g., technology versus manufacturing). Furthermore, longitudinal studies are essential to track the long-term outcomes and sustainability of co-created CSR projects, examining their impact on brand loyalty, employee retention, community resilience, and environmental indicators over multiple years. Additional research could also explore the role of emerging technologies, such as blockchain for enhancing transparency or artificial intelligence for personalizing stakeholder engagement, in scaling these principles effectively. Ultimately, corporations that proactively embrace this paradigm shift will achieve more than mere compliance or reputational benefit.

They will cultivate deeper, more resilient, and mutually accountable relationships with what is arguably the most critical stakeholder group of the coming decades. More significantly, they will pioneer a new standard for corporate citizenship—designing social responsibility initiatives that are not only more effective and accountable but are inherently more sustainable because they are rooted in genuine partnership, adaptive learning, and a shared commitment to forging an equitable future. This transition represents not merely a strategic opportunity but an imperative for relevance and longevity in the evolving landscape of 21st-century business.

References

Accenture Strategy. (2024). *The Competitive Agility Index: How Authenticity Drives Growth*. Accenture PLC.

Boston Consulting Group (BCG). (2023). *The Sustainability-Linked Turnover Crisis: Managing Gen Z Talent in the Purpose Economy*. Boston Consulting Group.

Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, **34** (4), 39-48.

Deloitte. (2022). *The Deloitte Global 2022 Gen Z & Millennial Survey*. Deloitte Insights.

Deloitte. (2023). *Deloitte Global Gen Z Survey 2023: Striving for Balance, Advocating for Change*. Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu Limited.

Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2010). Maximizing business returns to corporate social responsibility (CSR): The role of CSR communication. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, **12** (1), 8-19.

Edelman. (2023). *Edelman Trust Barometer 2023: The Collapse of the Authority Axis*. Edelman Holdings.

Francis, T., & Hoefel, F. (2018). *'True Gen': Generation Z and its implications for companies*. McKinsey & Company.

Friedman, A. L., & Miles, S. (2002). Developing stakeholder theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, **39** (1), 1-21.

Gomez, L., & Chalmeta, R. (2021). Corporate social responsibility in the age of digital activism: An analysis of communication strategies on social media. *Sustainability*, **13** (11), 6056.

Harvard Law School Forum on Corporate Governance. (2023). *Linking Executive Compensation to Sustainability Performance: An Analysis of S&P 500 Practices*. Harvard University.

Holt, D. B. (2016). Branding in the age of social media. *Harvard Business Review*, **49** (3), 40-50.
Kantar. (2023). *Purpose 2023 Report: The Brand Value of Authenticity*. Kantar Group.

Kotler, P., & Lee, N. (2008). *Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause*. John Wiley & Sons.

McKinsey & Company. (2023). *What is Gen Z? Understanding the Next Wave of Consumers*. McKinsey & Company.

Morgan Stanley Institute for Sustainable Investing. (2023). *Sustainable Signals: Gen Z and Millennial Investment Preferences*. Morgan Stanley.

Morsing, M., & Schultz, M. (2006). Corporate social responsibility communication: Stakeholder information, response and involvement strategies. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, **15** (4), 323-338

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review*, **89** (1/2), 62-77.

Schlegelmilch, B. B., & Pollach, I. (2005). The perils and opportunities of communicating corporate ethics. *Journal of Marketing Management*, **21** (3-4), 267-290.

Seitanidi, M. M., & Crane, A. (2009). Implementing CSR through partnerships: Understanding the selection, design and institutionalisation of nonprofit-business partnerships. *Journal of Business Ethics*, **85** (2), 413-429.

Smith, N. C., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Vogel, D. (2010). *Global challenges in responsible business*. Cambridge University Press.

Taiminen, K., & Ranaweera, C. (2019). Fostering brand engagement and value creation via social media: A managerial perspective. *Journal of Service Management*, **30** (5), 564-583.

Vanhamme, J., & Grobben, B. (2009). “Too good to be true!”. The effectiveness of CSR history in countering negative publicity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, **85** (2), 273-283.
World Economic Forum. (2023). *The Future of Corporate Purpose: Beyond Purpose-Washing*. World Economic Forum.