

CHALLENGES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE SINGLE BAR SYSTEM ON LEGAL PROTECTION FOR ADVOCATES IN INDONESIA

Weldy Jevis, Asmak ul Hosnah , Edi Rohaedi .

Fakultas Hukum, Universitas Pakuan

Email : weldy@unpak.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The large number of advocates in Indonesia requires every advocate to be registered and joined in an association or organization. With the many advocate organizations in Indonesia, PERADI as an organization recognized by the state as a forum for advocate organizations to gather. PERADI is also a manifestation of the adoption of the single bar system in Indonesia. In the context of Indonesian law, advocate organizations must be registered with the Indonesian Advocates Association (PERADI) to obtain legal protection. However, many advocate organizations choose not to join Peradi, thus creating uncertainty regarding legal protection for their members. This study uses a normative juridical approach with a literature study to examine the legal norms governing the advocate profession, especially those related to the legal position of non-Peradi organizations, as well as the single bar system implemented in Indonesia. The research findings show that although non-Peradi organizations have temporary authority to carry out certain functions, their legal status is still ambiguous and affects the legal protection provided to their members. In addition, the implementation of the single bar system in Indonesia provides advantages in terms of supervision and professional development, but also faces major challenges in terms of accessibility of advocates in remote areas to services provided by PERADI.

Keywords: Peradi , Protection Law , Act Advocate , Single Bar System .

Journal History

Received : April 23, 2025;
Reviewed : May 17, 2025;
Accepted : May 30, 2025;
Published : May 31, 2025.

Copyright @2022 NLR. All right reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Profession Advocates in Indonesia are regulated by law Number 18 of 2003 concerning Advocate , who is firm require every advocate For registered in organization state - recognized professions , namely Association Indonesian

Advocates Association (Peradi).¹ The Indonesian National Police play a role as a container that unites various organization advocate big in Indonesia, such as Bond Indonesian Advocates Association (IKADIN), Association Indonesian Advocates (AAI), Association Indonesian Legal Advisors Association (IPHI), Association Indonesian Advocates and Lawyers Association (HAPI), Association Indonesian Lawyers (SPI), Association Indonesian Legal Consultants (AKHI), Association Capital Market Legal Consultant (HKHPM), and the Association Indonesian Sharia Lawyers (APSI).² Through merger This , Indonesia adopted single bar system that requires all over registered advocate in Indonesia in One organization profession recognized by the state. This is different with multi-bar system implemented in several other countries, where advocates own freedom For choose organization profession in accordance preference they .

The single bar system refers to the idea that there is only one state-recognized advocate professional organization to regulate and supervise advocate practice in Indonesia. In this context, the Indonesian Advocates Association (PERADI) is recognized as the only organization that has the authority to carry out various important functions related to the advocate profession. ³ The implementation of this single bar system is intended to create uniformity in the regulation of the advocate profession, including in terms of the code of ethics, continuing education, and enforcement of discipline, with the hope that this will improve the quality of legal services and maintain the integrity of the profession. ⁴

System in Indonesia has a number of profit , good from side supervision , coaching , and arrangement profession advocate .⁵ Existence One organization that regulates all over profession advocate make it easier for the country to do supervision and coaching to profession law This . This also minimizes potential the occurrence abuse profession by individuals who are not responsible answer . On the contrary , in multi-bar surveillance system to profession advocate become more complex Because the amount existing organizations and differences rules that are

¹ Bamedika Kris Endira and others, 'Kedudukan Dan Peran Organisasi Profesi Advokat Terhadap Advokat Yang Berhadapan Dengan Hukum', *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW*, 5.1 (2022), p. 389–400, doi:10.26623/julr.v5i1.4841.

² Raydatul Maqfirah, Chairany Kartika Sipayung, and Fauziah Lubis, 'Peran Penting Organisasi Advokat Dalam Membentuk Karakter Sesuai Dengan Kode Etik Keadovokat Di Indonesia', *As-Syar'i: Jurnal Bimbingan & Konseling Keluarga*, 5.3 (2023), p. 713–23, doi:10.47467/as.v5i3.2851.

³ Nur Solikin and Anis Rohmatullah, 'The Regulatory Reform of Advocate Organizations in Proposing Oath of Prospective Advocates in Indonesia', *Jurnal Kajian Pembaruan Hukum* , 2.2 (2022), p. 133, doi:10.19184/jkph.v2i2.23400.

⁴ Tri Nugroho Akbar, Analisis Sistem Singel Bar Bagi Advokat Menurut Undang-Undang No.18 Tahun 2003, *Jurnal Thengkyang*, Vol 9 No.1 (2024), p.58.

⁵ Nur Solikin and Anis Rohmatullah, *Op Cit*, p 133.

applied in each organization .⁶In the Indonesian single bar system , all registered advocate in The Indonesian National Police guaranteed to obtain protection equal law , avoiding difference right or protection between One advocate with advocate others . As example , rights For to obtain help fair law , development professional , and protection on clients and integrity profession advocate , all given in a way evenly for registered advocate in The Adjudicator .

However although single bar system implemented , challenges the biggest Still there is in existence organization an advocate who does not registered in Peradi . Some organization non- Peradi advocates , although No registered previously given authority temporary For operate a number of function important related profession this , as arranged in Article 32 Paragraph (3) of the Advocate Law . Although Thus , based on existing provisions , all advocate in Indonesia in the end must registered in The Indonesian National Police For to obtain protection legitimate law . Ambiguity about strength law organization an advocate who does not registered and the rights they have get Still become problem important . This also raises question about to what extent the organization an advocate who does not registered in The Indonesian National Police can play a role in give protection law to its members .

Within the framework The theory of legal positivism put forward by Hans Kelsen, law must give certainty and clarity .⁷In the context single bar system in Indonesia, this This leading to the need For create clear and consistent rules , which can give protection fair and transparent law for all over practicing advocate . Legal Positivism , as Kelsen expressed , believing that only with certainty clear law can created order stable social . Implementation single bar system ensures that all advocate registered in One state -recognized organization , so that reduce uncertainty regarding legal status they .⁸ With system this , registered advocate in The Indonesian National Police can confirmed will get rights the same law , without existence discrimination based on the organization they choose previously .

⁶ Ibid

⁷ Fabrício Castagna Lunardi, 'A (in)Determinação Do Direito Na Fronteira Entre Os Sistemas Jurídico e Político: Uma Análise a Partir Da Desconstrução de Mitos Sobre o Positivismo eo Pós-Positivismo', *Revista de Direitos e Garantias Fundamentais* , 21.1 (2020), p. 193–228, doi:10.18759/rdgf.v21i1.1553.

⁸ Abdullah Ahmad Mukhtarzain, 'Dualisme Kebijakan Terkait Organisasi Advokat Dalam Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi No.101/PPU -VII/2009 dan Surat Ketua Mahkamah Agung No.73/KMA/HK.01/IX/2015), ' *As-Syar i: Jurnal Bimbingan & Konseling Keluarga* , 6.1 (2023), pp. 290–304, doi:10.47467/as.v6i1.345.

More Far again , the theory of the rule of law (rule of law) presented by Thomas Hobbes⁹ underline not quite enough the state's responsibility for give equal and fair protection for all over citizens . In the context of This , Indonesia as a country of law obliged For ensure that advocate , as one of the recognized profession in system justice , obtaining protection the same and equal law . The single bar system implemented in Indonesia aims to For avoid difference protection law between advocate , good those who are in registered organization or not . The state as regulator highest in system law own obligation For ensure that all registered advocate in The Indonesian National Police get proper protection in operate his profession .

However , even though has adopt single bar system , still there is various challenges that must be faced by Peradi in operate his role . One of them is quality supervision and coaching professions carried out by Peradi . Some party consider that The Indonesian National Police Not yet fully capable realize function effective supervision on all over member advocates in Indonesia. In addition , there are still there is assumption that No all advocate feel represented with Good in Peradi , especially for those who feel that organization the not enough notice interest its members .¹⁰ Another challenge that emerged is accessibility advocate to organization this , which is often become constraint for part advocates in more remote areas remote or for those who feel not enough comfortable with rule or policies that exist within The Adjudicator .

As it goes along time , evaluation to effectiveness this single bar system very much important For done . System This should No only aiming For unite organization advocates in Indonesia, but also for create protection equitable and quality law for all over practicing advocate . Therefore that , research This aiming For analyze how far is the power law organization affiliated advocates in Peradi and what single bar system capable give protection fair and equitable law for all over advocate . In addition , research this will also dig possibility repair in arrangement profession advocate in Indonesia for increase quality supervision , guidance and protection more laws good . With existence single bar system , it is hoped that Indonesia can reach equality right for every advocate , who in turn will strengthen system law and justice in this country.

⁹ Oghenemarho Carolyn Igidigba and Abdullahi Nuhu Liman, 'Thomas Hobbes' Contributions to Developing Theory and Political Philosophy', *European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences* , 1.6 (2024), pp. 64–72, doi:10.59324/ejahss.2024.1(6).07.

¹⁰ Dian Latifiani and others, 'Can Advocates' Legal Culture in Civil Law Enforcement Drive Reform in Indonesia's Modern Justice System?', *Journal of Law and Legal Reform* , 5.3 (2024), pp. 913–42, doi:10.15294/jllr.v5i3.12988.

METHOD

Study This use approach legal normative , ¹¹which focuses on studies to norms the law that governs profession advocates in Indonesia, especially about existence organization an advocate who does not registered in Association Indonesian Advocates (Peradi). The main objective study This is For analyze position law organization non- Peradi advocate in system Indonesian law , as well as challenges faced in implementation single bar system regulated by the Advocates Act . Research This depend on method library research , which collects data from various source primary and secondary law .¹²

The main data source used in study This consists of from primary data, in the form of Constitution Number 18 of 2003 concerning Advocate , regulations legislation related , and decision relevant court with Topic research . Secondary data used covers books law , article journals , and other literature that discusses organization advocate , system supervision profession , and the challenges faced by non- Peradi organizations . Data collection techniques were carried out with studies document , namely collect and review document relevant laws , regulations , and literature with Topic research . Procedure this data collection involving search information about position law organization non- Peradi advocate as well as implications related laws with existence they in single bar system . After the data is collected , data analysis is carried out with method analysis qualitative For assess and interpret norm existing law as well as compare single bar system in Indonesia with multi-bar system implemented in other countries. Analysis This aiming For give description about challenges faced by the organization non- Peradi advocate as well as protection the law given to its members in context supervision and coaching profession advocate .

Through method this , research This expected can give understanding deep about system advocates in Indonesia, as well as recommendation For repair existing regulations use ensure protection more laws fair and equitable for all over advocates , both affiliated in The Indonesian National Police and also non- Peradi organization

DISCUSSION

Role And Authority Organization Non- Peradi Advocate In Indonesian Legal System

¹¹ Moh. Mujibur Rohman and others, 'Methodological Reasoning Finds Law Using Normative Studies (Theory, Approach and Analysis of Legal Materials)', *MAQASIDI: Jurnal Syariah Dan Hukum* , 2024, pp. 204–21, doi:10.47498/maqasidi.v4i2.3379.

¹² Diana E. Marsh and others, 'Primary Sources as Linked Data: Exploring Motives Across the Sciences and Social Sciences', *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology* , 61.1 (2024), pp. 232–45, doi:10.1002/pr2.1023.

Organization Advocates in Indonesia are regulated by law Number 18 of 2003 concerning Advocate, who asserted that every advocate must merged in organization state - recognized professions, namely Association Indonesian Advocates (Peradi).¹³ This is aiming For ensure that every advocate get protection clear and even law and so that the profession This organized with good below legitimate supervision. However, even though There is provision this, some organization advocate others who don't registered in The Indonesian National Police still operate and have authority certain.

Article 32 Paragraph (3) of the Advocates Law give authority temporary for organization an advocate who does not registered in The Indonesian National Police For operate a number of functions, such as education, training and certification profession. Although Thus, the legal status from non-Peradi organization This often questioned Because they No own confession clear law as Peradi, which is recognized as the only one organization profession a legitimate advocate in Indonesia.¹⁴

According to Sudikno Mertokusumo legal status a organization profession must clear so that it can be give protection law to its members.¹⁵ Without confession official from country, organization the No can accountable in a way law on obligations and rights its members. Therefore that, the unclear legal status non-Peradi organization can cause uncertainty law, especially related with obligations and rights advocates who join in it. The existence of organization non-Peradi advocate give enough impact significant to professionalism and integrity profession advocates in Indonesia. Although they functioning For give training and certification, non-Peradi organization No own same supervision with The Adjudicator.

In view Satjipto Rahardjo, professionalism in a profession must supported by organized and structured supervision. Lack of supervision adequate to non-Peradi organization can lower quality service the law given by its members, and ultimately can influence integrity profession advocate in a way overall.¹⁶

¹³ Endira, Bamedika Kris, Muhammad Junaidi, Diah Sulistyani Ratna Sediati, and Amri Panahatan Sihotang, 'Kedudukan Dan Peran Organisasi Profesi Advokat Terhadap Advokat Yang Berhadapan Dengan Hukum', *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW*, 5.1 (2022), pp. 389–400, doi:10.26623/julr.v5i1.4841

¹⁴ Suci Emilia Fitriani and others, 'Peran Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Internasional: Analisis Dampak dan Efektivitas', *El-Mujtama: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, Vol 4, No.5 (2024), pp. 2389–98, doi:10.47467/elmujtama.v4i5.3477.

¹⁵ Eti Kurniawati 'Peran Sarikat Pekerja Dan Organisasi Profesi Dalam Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia', *BISMA: Business and Management Journal*, 2.4 (2024), pp. 7–15, doi:10.59966/bisma.v2i4.1397

¹⁶ I Putu Merta Suadi, Made Subawa, and Siti Nurmawa Damanik, 'Legal Certainty Of Advocate Organizations Authorized To Carry Out The Appointment And Swearing-In Of Advocates', *Edunity Kajian Ilmu Sosial Dan Pendidikan*, 3.8 (2024), pp. 738–46,

Advocates who are affiliated in non- Peradi organization often not to obtain adequate coaching For guard standard high professionalism . Soerjono Soekanto to argue that weak supervision can cause existence imbalance in quality service the law given to society , so that harm image profession advocate That Alone .¹⁷

Function organization advocate is For protect rights its members and maintain quality service law .¹⁸ However , because unclear legal status non- Peradi organizations , advocates who are members of it No fully get proper protection as registered advocate in Peradi . One of the rights that should be owned by an advocate is protection law on his profession , but with No registered non- Peradi organization in the list of organizations recognized by the state, protection the law that they get become more weak .¹⁹

Hans Kelsen in Positivism Legal Theory which emphasizes that law must give certainty and regularity in public .²⁰ Without existence confession official and clear to organization advocate like Peradi , system Indonesian law does not can give certainty regarding legal status affiliated advocates in non- Peradi organizations . This is cause uncertainty in matter rights that must be accepted by the advocates who join in non- Peradi organizations , as well as protection the law that they get moment operate his duties .

Even besides it was also conveyed by JJ Rousseau in his book *The Social Contract* , the state as a legal entity own obligation For give equal protection to all citizens .²¹In the context of this , the country through system existing law should give protection equal law for every advocate in Indonesia, without differentiate they based on organization his profession . System fair and transparent law should can ensure that affiliated advocates in non- Peradi organizations also received equal rights and protection , even though they No registered in The Adjudicator .

doi:10.57096/edunity.v3i8.293.

¹⁷ Roxana Denisa Vidican and Raul Alexandru Hepeş, 'ENSURING A HEALTHY COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT IN THE FIELD OF LEGAL PROFESSIONS', *AGORA INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES* , 18.2 (2024), pp. 272–78, doi:10.15837/aijjs.v18i2.6998.

¹⁸ William Leonardy Limutra, Taufik Siregar, and M. Citra Ramadhan, 'Analysis of the Role of Advocates in Industrial Relations Dispute Resolution: The Case of the Medan Manpower Office', *Journal of Public Representative and Society Provision* , 4.3 (2024), pp. 65–72, doi:10.55885/jprsp.v4i3.464.

¹⁹ Alya Sani Pratiwi, Endah Pujiastuti, and Zaenal Arifin, 'Implikasi Bentuk Perjanjian Kerja Terhadap Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Pekerja Pada Usaha Mikro Dan Kecil', *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW*, 7.3 (2024), pp. 1897–1910, doi:10.26623/julr.v7i3.11030

²⁰ Donny Widiyanto, and Zainal Arifin Hoesein, 'Positivism Theory in the Context of Modern Legal Thought', *International Journal of Law and Society*, 1.4 (2024), pp. 258–67, doi:10.62951/ijls.v1i4.204

²¹ Franklin Sochima Enekwe, 'The Human Rights and Social Justice: Interconnected Pillars for Equity.', 2024, doi:10.14293/PR2199.001237.v1.

Study This find that although organization non- Peradi advocate given authority temporary For operate a number of function important related profession this , legal status they still ambiguous . This is cause uncertainty about protection the law that they thank you , because No registered in Peradi which has confession legitimate from the country. The existence of non- Peradi organization No can give comparable protection with Peradi , especially in matter supervision and coaching profession , which is very necessary For guard integrity and quality profession advocate in Indonesia.

In addition , research this also reveals that affiliated advocates in non- Peradi organization often not get coaching and protection equal law with those who are registered in Peradi . This is create disparity in protection law for advocates in Indonesia, which is contrary with principle justice in law . Therefore that , it is necessary existence more regulation clear related organization non- Peradi advocates so that they can functioning with clear and guaranteed his protection in accordance with applicable law .

See complex problems This required update regulation For clarify legal status organization non- Peradi advocates and ensure that protection the law given to advocates in Indonesia can accepted with fair and equitable , without existence discrimination based on the organization they select . With Thus , the system Indonesian law can walk with more transparent and structured , providing certainty law for all over advocate practicing in Indonesia

Evaluation Single Bar System : Advantages and Challenges in Supervision and Guidance Profession Advocate

System in Indonesia requires all over advocate For registered in One organization state - recognized professions , namely Peradi (Association of Indonesian Advocate). System This own objective For unite all over advocate below One umbrella organization , which is expected can increase quality profession , reduce gaps , and provide more supervision good . The decision to apply system This based on assumption that One organization that regulates profession advocate will more easy monitored and supervised by the state, as well can reduce potential abuse profession by individuals who are not responsible answer .

However , if compared to with multi-bar system implemented in other countries such as the United States or English , Indonesia adopted a more approach centralized . In countries with multi-bar system , advocate can choose organization which profession do they have? consider most appropriate with preference them ,

and each organization own rule as well as his authority Alone in arrange profession²².

On the other hand , the multi-bar system provides more Lots flexibility and diversity in management profession , but also improve complexity in supervision and guidance , because the amount organizations involved .

One of profit main from single bar system is convenience in do supervision to all over member advocate . Peradi , as the only one organization that regulates profession advocate , expected can give coaching and supervision in a way evenly to all over its members throughout Indonesia . Through system this , Peradi own role central in guard standard profession , giving training , as well as handle violation code ethics .

However , even though objective supervision and coaching can more coordinated with existence Peradi , there is challenge big in implementation effective supervision . Emile Durkheim in theory sociology , argues that effective supervision need system that does not only own clear rules , but also consistent application across the board system .²³

In Indonesia, although The Indonesian National Police own authority big in supervise its members , implementation supervision often hampered by inaccessibility for advocate in the area remoteness and lack of facility or channel For report violation in a way effective .

In addition , supervision is carried out The Indonesian National Police often considered No Enough in depth by some circles . Some advocate complain about that The Indonesian National Police No fully represent interest them , especially those outside city big like Jakarta. This is create gap between advocate living in urban areas with those in more areas isolated .

Accessibility is one of the challenge the biggest in implementation single bar system in Indonesia. Indonesia, with condition geographically vast and spread across the islands , presenting challenge alone in ensure that every advocates , both those in the city big or in the area isolated , have equal access to services provided by Peradi . This is covers access For follow training , obtaining information related rules and guidance profession , as well as follow procedures that apply within The Adjudicator .

Max Weber in theory bureaucracy and management organization , stated that a effective system must can reach every member with efficient and equitable

²² Vedita Akbar, Nada Prima Dirkareshza, and Taufiqurrohman Syahuri, 'Tugas Dan Sifat Politik Hukum Terhadap Sistem Hukum Di Indonesia', *Intellektika : Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa*, 3.1 (2024), pp. 85–100, doi:10.59841/intellektika.v3i1.2033.

²³ Juan Carlos Araque and Eugenia L. Weiss, 'Leadership and Effective Supervision', in *Leadership with Impact* (Oxford University Press New York, 2025), pp. 371–405, doi:10.1093/oso/9780197753392.003.0013.

manner.²⁴ The system is too centralized without adequate infrastructure can cause inequality in access information and facilities. In Indonesia, although The Indonesian National Police Already make an effort overcome problem this, advocates in remote areas from center city often experience difficulty in access service said, good Because limitations infrastructure, lack of understanding about regulation, or discomfort in follow training in a way physique.

Study This give answer that single bar system in Indonesia provides profit in matter supervision and coaching profession more advocate structured. However, the implementation system This Not yet fully effective. Although The Indonesian National Police own authority big in supervise all over member advocate, implementation effective supervision Still constrained by several factors, such as limitations source power, long distance between members in the area remote with center activity Peradi, as well as inability in touch all over layer advocates in the regions the.

Challenge the biggest lies in accessibility advocate in the area remote to services and coaching provided by Peradi. Many advocates feel difficulty For access information or follow training is organized, because limitations infrastructure and facilities in the regions said. Therefore that, for repair effectiveness single bar system, required steps For expand accessibility this, like optimizing digital platforms for online training and coaching, as well as ensure distribution more information evenly to all over areas in Indonesia.

In general overall, although single bar system provides benefit in matter supervision and coaching, still There is need For increase quality and range supervision as well as facilitate more access Good for advocates in the regions isolated. With repair weaknesses This single bar system in Indonesia can functioning more effective in guard quality profession advocate and provide more protection Good for public.

Improvement Legal Protection for Advocate through Strengthening the Role of Peradi

Peradi (Association of Indonesian Advocate) has a very important role in system Indonesian law because as organization profession single recognized by the state, Peradi responsible answer For guard quality profession advocate as well as give protection fair and equal law for all over registered advocate.²⁵ As explained in Constitution Number 18 of 2003 concerning Advocate, purpose main from The

²⁴ Dr. Jeet Ram Sharma, 'Exploring Theories of Bureaucracy: Understanding Organizational Structure and Dynamics', *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 2.2 (2020), pp. 162–66, doi:10.33545/26646021.2020.v2.i2c.271.

²⁵ Suadi, Subawa, and Damanik, 'Legal Certainty Of Advocate Organizations Authorized To Carry Out The Appointment And Swearing-In Of Advocates'.

Indonesian National Police is ensure that all over practicing advocate own standard ethics and quality appropriate service with applicable law . One of method For reach objective This is through organized supervision and coaching sustainable .

However , protection the law in question No only related with protection to action abuse profession , but also includes protection to rights advocate That yourself . Protection This covers right For to obtain proper training , supervision to activity law they , as well as settlement disputes that can arise in context work they

Thomas Hobbes in rule of law theory , puts forward that the state must protect all inhabitant his country , and things this also applies in context profession advocate . ²⁶The state as regulator highest in system law must ensure that advocate No only get equal access to training and coaching , but also get protection the same law in operate his profession .

Strengthening role The Indonesian National Police become very important in ensure that every advocate in Indonesia, without except , get equal opportunity in access facility training , supervision , and also getting protection equal law . With existence strengthening Peradi , then system Indonesian law can more to uphold justice , and guard integrity profession advocate as enforcer the law in this country.

Function supervision and coaching carried out The Indonesian National Police must capable covers all over member advocate in Indonesia, without except . So that things This can realized , Peradi must apply some of the following strategies :

- a) Use Technology For Supervision and Coaching : Use digital technology can help overcome difficulties faced by advocates in the regions remote . Peradi need utilize online platforms to to organize training , seminars and coaching for an advocate who does not can attend the event in person physical . With with the existence of this digital platform , advocates who live Far from center city can still get training and access to information important related with profession them . For example , webinars and online courses can done in a way periodic For ensure that all advocate get equal opportunity For develop skills them and follow development latest in the world of law . According to Max Weber, efficient supervision can achieved with use technology that can reduce obstacle distance and time , so that all parties involved in profession law can more easy monitored and fostered .
- b) Establishment of Peradi Branches in the Regions Remote : Peradi also needs consider formation branches local or office representatives in the regions isolated . This will make it easier advocate who is outside city big For access various services , including training , consulting law , and protection

²⁶ Prabha -, 'Duties of the Advocates in the Administration of Justice', *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research* , 6.5 (2024), doi:10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i05.27720.

profession . With existence branch or representative this , Peradi can more near with its members , making it easier communication , as well as ensure that all advocate get appropriate coaching with need local they . Emile Durkheim, in study sociology about arrangement social , explaining that successful supervision is supervision that can reach all over layer society . In terms of this , supervision The Indonesian National Police to advocate in the area remote can more effective with existence office branch that facilitates members in the area .

- c) Improvement Representation Regional Advocate in Decision Making : Peradi need ensure that Advocates in the regions can also participate in taking decisions at the level organization . With the presence of a legal representative area in structure leadership Peradi , is expected can created balance in more coaching and policies inclusive for all over member advocate . With Thus , advocates who are outside city big No feel marginalized , and can feel that organization This truly represent interest they . This is in accordance with theory justice distributive as expressed by John Rawls, who emphasized importance justice in distribution source power and opportunity , so that No There is marginalized groups in A system social .

For ensure protection more laws Good for advocate , Peradi need do a number of Updates more policies inclusive and responsive to dynamics that occur in the field . Some necessary changes done among others:

- a) Updates Regulation About Non- Peradi Organizations : Although single bar system already applied , organization non- Peradi advocates who are still operate must get attention special . Organizations This often have its members alone who feels No represented by Peradi . Therefore that , it is necessary There is Updates regulations that govern about position and authority non- Peradi organizations , whether they must integrated in system The Indonesian National Police or given more recognition clear in framework law . According to Hans Kelsen, in his theory of Legal Positivism , law must give certainty and clarity for all parties . Therefore that , clear setting regarding legal status non- Peradi organizations are very important For avoid uncertainty and giving equal protection for advocates who are members of it .
- b) Improving Access to Advocates in Remote Areas : Peradi need repair accessibility for advocates in the area isolated . One of the solutions that can implemented is with using digital platforms that allow advocate in the area remote For follow coaching and getting information latest without must go

to city big . In addition , Peradi must also increase access to document law , online seminars, and certification training that can done distance Far .

- c) Empowerment Advocate in Decision Making at Peradi : Peradi need strengthen representation advocate in the area in the decision-making forums decisions , so that the resulting policies more inclusive and representative interest all member advocates , including those who are outside city big . This will help in strengthen Peradi's internal structure is more democratic and fair for all over its members .

Study This give answer How increase protection law for advocate , strengthening The Indonesian National Police in do Even guidance and supervision throughout Indonesia is very important . The system effective supervision and ongoing coaching will ensure that quality profession advocate can awake with good . However , the challenge the biggest is accessibility advocate in the area remote . Advocate who is outside center city big often have trouble in access service from Peradi . Therefore that , research This suggested that Peradi to renew policies and use technology For increase accessibility as well as strengthen role branches local . In addition , updates regulation related non- Peradi organizations are also needed so that they do not There is gap protection law between registered advocate in Peradi and those who are members in organization other .

In general overall , with more policies inclusive and utilization optimal technology , Peradi can more effective in ensure that all over advocates in Indonesia get protection equal law and better service Good .

CONCLUSION

The role and authority of Non-Peradi Advocate organizations in the Indonesian Legal System are very problematic. The provisions of Article 32 paragraph 3 of the Advocate Law provide temporary authority for advocate organizations that are not registered with Peradi to carry out several functions such as education, training, and professional certification. Furthermore, the legal status of Non-Peradi Advocate organizations is always questioned. In fact, if we refer to Sudikno Mertokusumo's opinion, the legal status of a professional organization must be clear in order to provide legal protection to its members. This means that with the absence of clear legal status recognition by the state, such as the Peradi Organization which has received recognition by the State, Non-Peradi organizations have no certainty regarding the status of the organization. So that the rights of Advocates who are members of Non-Peradi organizations tend to be difficult to obtain, such as legal protection when carrying out their duties.

Strengthening role Association Indonesian Advocates (Peradi) is very important in ensure protection fair and equal law for all over advocate in Indonesia. Through single bar system implemented , Peradi own authority big in do

supervising, coaching and looking after standard ethics profession. However, even though system This give profit in matter organizing and supervising, still there is challenge in implement even supervision, especially for advocates in the area isolated. Limitations accessibility to services provided by Peradi be one of obstacle the main thing that needs to be done quick overcome. In addition, although organization non- Peradi advocate given authority Meanwhile, regulations governing the legal status they Still ambiguous, leading to uncertainty in protection law for its members. Therefore that, for ensure protection equal law is required Updates policy Peradi, improvement use technology For supervision and coaching, as well Updates regulation related organization non- Peradi advocates. With steps said, the single bar system in Indonesia can walk more effective in give more protection and guidance Good for advocate, without existence the gap between those in urban and rural areas isolated.

REFERENCE

- Akbar, Tri Nugroho, Analisis Sistem Singel Bar Bagi Advokat Menurut Undang-Undang No.18 Tahun 2003, *Jurnal Thengkyang*, Vol 9 No.1 (2024).
- Araque, Juan Carlos, and Eugenia L. Weiss, 'Leadership and Effective Supervision', in *Leadership with Impact* (Oxford University Press New York, 2025), pp. 371–405, doi:10.1093/oso/9780197753392.003.0013
- Donny Widiyanto, and Zainal Arifin Hoesein, 'Positivism Theory in the Context of Modern Legal Thought', *International Journal of Law and Society*, 1.4 (2024), pp. 258–67, doi:10.62951/ijls.v1i4.204
- Endira, Bramedika Kris, Muhammad Junaidi, Diah Sulistyani Ratna Sediati, and Amri Panahatan Sihotang, 'Kedudukan Dan Peran Organisasi Profesi Advokat Terhadap Advokat Yang Berhadapan Dengan Hukum', *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW*, 5.1 (2022), pp. 389–400, doi:10.26623/julr.v5i1.4841
- Enekwe, Franklin Sochima, 'The Human Rights and Social Justice: Interconnected Pillars for Equity.', 2024, doi:10.14293/PR2199.001237.v1
- Fitriani, Suci Emilia, Sabrina Baskara Putri, Vira Riza, and Zain Fikriyah, 'Peran Non-Governmental Organization (NGO) Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Internasional: Analisis Dampak Dan Efektivitas', *El-Mujtama: Jurnal Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 4.5 (2024), pp. 2389–98, doi:10.47467/elmutjama.v4i5.3477
- Igidigba, Oghenemarho Carolyn, and Abdullahi Nuhu Liman, 'Thomas Hobbes' Contributions to Development Theory and Political Philosophy', *European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, 1.6 (2024), pp. 64–72, doi:10.59324/ejahss.2024.1(6).07

ISSN (Print) 2723-3413 - ISSN (Online) 2722-3663

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30596/nomoi.v6i1.24109>

- Kurniawati, Eti, 'Peran Sarikat Pekerja Dan Organisasi Profesi Dalam Pengembangan Sumber Daya Manusia', *BISMA : Business and Management Journal*, 2.4 (2024), pp. 7–15, doi:10.59966/bisma.v2i4.1397
- Latifiani, Dian, Baidhowi Baidhowi, Pratama Herry Herlambang, Farkhan Radyafani Winarno, and Ahmad Habiburrahman, 'Can Advocates' Legal Culture in Civil Law Enforcement Drive Reform in Indonesia's Modern Justice System?', *Journal of Law and Legal Reform*, 5.3 (2024), pp. 913–42, doi:10.15294/jllr.v5i3.12988
- Limutra, William Leonardy, Taufik Siregar, and M. Citra Ramadhan, 'Analysis of the Role of Advocates in Industrial Relations Dispute Resolution: The Case of the Medan Manpower Office', *Journal of Public Representative and Society Provision*, 4.3 (2024), pp. 65–72, doi:10.55885/jprsp.v4i3.464
- Lunardi, Fabrício Castagna, 'A (in)Determinação Do Direito Na Fronteira Entre Os Sistemas Jurídico e Político: Uma Análise a Partir Da Desconstrução de Mitos Sobre o Positivismo e o Pós-Positivismo', *Revista de Direitos e Garantias Fundamentais*, 21.1 (2020), pp. 193–228, doi:10.18759/rdgf.v21i1.1553
- Maqfirah, Raydatul, Chairany Kartika Sipayung, and Fauziah Lubis, 'Peran Penting Organisasi Advokat Dalam Membentuk Karakter Sesuai Dengan Kode Etik Keadovokat Di Indonesia', *As-Syar'i: Jurnal Bimbingan & Konseling Keluarga*, 5.3 (2023), pp. 713–23, doi:10.47467/as.v5i3.2851
- Marsh, Diana E., Katrina Fenlon, Amanda H. Sorensen, and Nikki M. Wise, 'Primary Sources as Linked Data: Exploring Motives Across the Sciences and Social Sciences', *Proceedings of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 61.1 (2024), pp. 232–45, doi:10.1002/pra2.1023
- Mukhtarzain, Abdullah Ahmad, 'Dualisme Kebijakan Terkait Organisasi Advokat Dalam Putusan Mahkamah Konstitusi No.101/PPU -VII/2009 Dan Surat Ketua Mahkamah Agung No.73/KMA/HK.01/IX/2015', *As-Syar i: Jurnal Bimbingan & Konseling Keluarga*, 6.1 (2023), pp. 290–304, doi:10.47467/as.v6i1.345
- Prabha, 'Duties of the Advocates in the Administration of Justice', *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 6.5 (2024), doi:10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i05.27720
- Rohman, Moh. Mujibur, Nashrul Mu'minin, Mowafg Masuwd, and Elihami Elihami, 'Methodological Reasoning Finds Law Using Normative Studies (Theory, Approach and Analysis of Legal Materials)', *MAQASIDI: Jurnal Syariah Dan Hukum*, 2024, pp. 204–21, doi:10.47498/maqasidi.v4i2.3379
- Sani Pratiwi, Alya, Endah Pujiastuti, and Zaenal Arifin, 'Implikasi Bentuk

ISSN (Print) 2723-3413 - ISSN (Online) 2722-3663

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30596/nomoi.v6i1.24109>

Perjanjian Kerja Terhadap Perlindungan Hukum Bagi Pekerja Pada Usaha Mikro Dan Kecil', *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW*, 7.3 (2024), pp. 1897–1910, doi:10.26623/julr.v7i3.11030

Sharma, Dr. Jeet Ram, 'Exploring Theories of Bureaucracy: Understanding Organisational Structure and Dynamics', *International Journal of Political Science and Governance*, 2.2 (2020), pp. 162–66, doi:10.33545/26646021.2020.v2.i2c.271

Solikin, Nur, and Anis Rohmatullah, 'The Regulatory Reform of Advocate Organizations in Proposing Oath of Prospective Advocates in Indonesia', *Jurnal Kajian Pembaruan Hukum*, 2.2 (2022), p. 133, doi:10.19184/jkph.v2i2.23400

Suadi, I Putu Merta, Made Subawa, and Siti Nurmawa Damanik, 'Legal Certainty Of Advocate Organizations Authorized To Carry Out The Appointment And Swearing-In Of Advocates', *Edunity Kajian Ilmu Sosial Dan Pendidikan*, 3.8 (2024), pp. 738–46, doi:10.57096/edunity.v3i8.293

Vedita Akbar, Nada Prima Dirkareshza, and Taufiqurrohman Syahuri, 'Tugas Dan Sifat Politik Hukum Terhadap Sistem Hukum Di Indonesia', *Intellektika : Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa*, 3.1 (2024), pp. 85–100, doi:10.59841/intellektika.v3i1.2033

Vidican, Roxana Denisa, and Raul Alexandru Hepeş, 'ENSURING A HEALTHY COMPETITIVE ENVIRONMENT IN THE FIELD OF LEGAL PROFESSIONS', *AGORA INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF JURIDICAL SCIENCES*, 18.2 (2024), pp. 272–78, doi:10.15837/aijjs.v18i2.6998